

10/633,599



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**  
 Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
 Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

10/633,599 8/5/03 Breithaupt

Endress+Hauser Holding GmbH  
 PatServe (Deutschland)  
 Colmarer Straße 6  
 79576 Weil am Rhein  
 Germany

Phone +49 7621 975 485  
 Fax +49 7621 975 888  
 sabine.wenzel@patserve.endress.com

Sabine Wenzel  
 Team Assistant IP

EXAMINER

C. Cooley

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1723

05232007

DATE MAILED:

### INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

Endress+Hauser

People for Process Automation

(Personnel):

(1) C. Cooley (PTO) (3) Kirst, Michael (App. Rep.)  
 (2) Felix D'Ambrosio (App. Rep.) (4) Sabine Wenzel (App. Rep.)

Date of interview 23 May 2007

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

(pending review of amendment)

Claims discussed:

10 & 14 (proposed)

Identification of prior art discussed:

JP 7-198554 & JP 2000-250634

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Proposed clms 10 & 14 were discussed. Clm 10 will be revised to include a measuring step and the manner in which the fluids are introduced, e.g., alternately during a time period and then stopping. Clm 14 may be amended in a similar fashion or the limitations argued over the prior art. The

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

amendment will be researched & considered after filing.

Examiner's Signature

Charles Cooley

ORIGINAL FOR INSERTION IN RIGHT HAND FLAP OF FILE WRAPPER